(1)

(3)

C.S. 1001—

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**

WRIT PETITION NO. 323 / 2004

**PETITIONER**:

S.S. Sinha, S/o Late Shri R. B. Sinha , aged about 44 years, Occupation Sub Engineer in Water Resources Department, Government of Chhattisgarh C/o M.R.P.W.M. Sub Division No.2 Bhatagaon, Tehsil Kurud District Dhamtari ,Chhattisgarh

3221/04
Presented by Shri Satyendra Sahu
dated 13-9-04

VERSUS

**RESPONDENTS:**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhavan, Raipur(C.G.)

2. The Engineer in Chief, Department of Water Resources , Government of Chhattisgarh, Civil Line, Raipur, C.G.

3. The Chief Engineer, Mahanadi River Project, Department of Water Resources, Government of Chhattisgarh, Bhagat Singh Chowk, Raipur, C.G.

4. The Executive Engineer, M.R.P. Division No.2 , Department of Water Resources, Government of Chhattisgarh, Rudri, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

RECEIVED COPY
13.9.04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

उपयुक्त आयुक्त
बिलासपुर तहसील सेल
13/9/04

(2)

(4)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION, CERTIORARI, AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.**

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(22)

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO.3213 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**20.09.2004**

On a mention being made, the case is taken up.

Shri Satyendra Sahu, Counsel for the petitioner.

State by Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate.

Application (I.A. 6852/04) for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the transfer of petitioner has been made against the transfer Policy. He further submits that the petitioner has been transferred in the mid session and the studies of his children would be adversely affected. He submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered expeditiously on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same, on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of the representation..

It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No.2810/2004 also stands disposed of.

C.C. as per rules.

**Sd/-**
**Fakhruddin**
**Judge**

Rao

[पीछे देखिये